

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 3849 10/690,470 10/20/2003 William K. Warburton 017032-000511US **EXAMINER** 20350 7590 05/11/2005 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP BARBEE, MANUEL L TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **EIGHTH FLOOR** SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 2857

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Av
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	. 71
Office Assists Summers		10/690,470	WARBURTON ET A	AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Manuel L. Barbee	2857	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A	April 2004.	•	
2a) □	•	s action is non-final.		
3)				
.	·	ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.L	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-64</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>65</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			•
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification.	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ o drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A city documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National S	stage
•				
Attachmen	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 4/26/04.	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Objections

1. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 9, line 1 of the claim, after "operation", insert --is performed--. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claim 65 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,587,814. This is a double patenting rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-8 and 10-64 are allowed.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior art teaches a method or apparatus for measuring the integrated area of a pulse-like input signal applied to a device characterized by one or more decay time constants in the device's impulse response function by measuring a step-like output signal, referred to as the primary signal, that includes creating a set of secondary signals by directing the primary signal into a plurality of signal paths, performing filtering or delaying in at least one signal path, applying a set of weighting

Art Unit: 2857

coefficients to the secondary signals, summing the secondary signals, performing at least one capturing operation after any filtering or delaying operation to produce a value that is a measure of the integrated area of the input signal, wherein the filtering, delaying and/or capturing operations establish a defined time correlation between the secondary signals with respect to one another prior to the secondary signals being summed and wherein the weighting coefficients applied to the secondary signals are selected based on the nature of any filters used in the filtering, on the defined time correlation established between the secondary signals and on the value of the decay time constants associated with the device.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gray (US Patent No. 3,700,876) teaches a signal processor that creates a plurality of second signals from a first signal, filters the signals, weights the signals, delays the signals and sums the signals.

Bostros et al. (US Patent No. 5,889,869) teach creating secondary signals from an input signal, delaying the signals, weighting the signals and summing the signals.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manuel L. Barbee whose telephone number is 571-272-2212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,470

Art Unit: 2857

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on 571-272-2216. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mlb May 3, 2005

MARC S. HOFF
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECH::OLOGY CENTER 2800